

ON THE NUMBER OF UNIQUE EXPANSIONS IN NON-INTEGERS BASES

MARTIJN DE VRIES

ABSTRACT. Let $q > 1$ be a real number and let $m = m(q)$ be the largest integer smaller than q . It is well known that each number $x \in J_q := [0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} mq^{-i}]$ can be written as $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i q^{-i}$ with integer coefficients $0 \leq c_i < q$. If q is a non-integer, then almost every $x \in J_q$ has continuum many expansions of this form. In this note we consider some properties of the set $\mathcal{U}_q$ consisting of numbers $x \in J_q$ having a unique representation of this form. More specifically, we compare the size of the sets $\mathcal{U}_q$ and $\mathcal{U}_r$ for values q and r satisfying $1 < q < r$ and $m(q) = m(r)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beginning with the pioneering works of Rényi [13] and Parry [12], expansions of real numbers in non-integer bases have been widely studied during the last fifty years.

In this paper we consider only sequences of nonnegative integers. Given a real number $q > 1$, an *expansion in base q* (or simply *expansion*) of a real number x is a sequence $(c_i) = c_1 c_2 \dots$ of integers satisfying

$$0 \leq c_i < q \text{ for each } i \geq 1 \text{ and } x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{q^i}.$$

Note that this definition is only meaningful if x belongs to the interval

$$J_q := \left[0, \frac{[q] - 1}{q - 1}\right],$$

where $[q]$ is the smallest integer larger than or equal to q . Note that $[0, 1] \subset J_q$.

The *greedy* expansion of a number $x \in J_q$, denoted by $(b_i(x))$ or (b_i) , can be obtained by performing the greedy algorithm [13]: if for some $n \in \mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $b_i = b_i(x)$ is already defined for $1 \leq i < n$ (no condition if $n = 1$), then $b_n = b_n(x)$ is the largest integer smaller than q such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{q^i} \leq x.$$

If $x \in J_q \setminus \{0\}$, then the *quasi-greedy* expansion, denoted by $(a_i(x))$ or (a_i) , is obtained by applying the quasi-greedy algorithm [4, 11, 1]: if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_i = a_i(x)$ is already defined for $1 \leq i < n$ (no condition if $n = 1$), then $a_n = a_n(x)$ is the largest integer smaller than q such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{q^i} < x.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:11A63, Secondary:11B83.

Key words and phrases. Thue–Morse sequence, greedy expansion, quasi-greedy expansion, unique expansion, univoque sequence, univoque number.

The author has been supported by NWO, Project nr. ISK04G.

The quasi-greedy expansion of $x \in J_q \setminus \{0\}$ is always infinite (we call an expansion *infinite* if it contains infinitely many nonzero elements; otherwise it is called *finite*) and coincides with the greedy expansion $(b_i(x))$ if and only if the latter is infinite. If the greedy expansion of $x \in J_q \setminus \{0\}$ is finite and b_n is its last nonzero element, then $(a_i(x)) = b_1 \dots b_{n-1} b_n^- \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots$, where $b_n^- := b_n - 1$ and $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(q) := a_i(1)$, $i \geq 1$. For convenience, we set $(a_i(0)) := 0^\infty$ and refer to it as the quasi-greedy expansion of 0 in base q . We will also write $q \sim (\alpha_i)$ if the quasi-greedy expansion of 1 in base q is given by (α_i) .

If $q > 1$ is an integer, then the greedy expansion of a number $x \in J_q = [0, 1]$ is in fact the only expansion of x in base q , except when $x = i/q^n$, where $1 \leq i \leq q^n - 1$ is an integer and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, if $q > 1$ is a non-integer, then almost every $x \in J_q$ has continuum many expansions in base q , see [2], [14]. Starting with a discovery of Erdős, Horváth and Joó [6], many works during the last fifteen years were devoted to the study of the exceptional set $\mathcal{U}_q$ consisting of those numbers $x \in J_q$ with a unique expansion in base q . For instance, it was shown in [7] that if $1 < q < (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, then *each* number in the interior of J_q has continuum many expansions. Hence, in this case, $\mathcal{U}_q = \{0, 1/(q-1)\}$. However, if $q > (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, then the set $\mathcal{U}_q$ is infinite [3].

In order to mention some more sophisticated properties of the set $\mathcal{U}_q$ for various values of q , we introduce the set of *univoque numbers* $\mathcal{U}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{U} := \{q > 1 : 1 \text{ has a unique expansion in base } q\}.$$

It was shown in [6] that the set $\mathcal{U} \cap (1, 2)$ has continuum many elements. Subsequently, the set $\mathcal{U}$ was characterized lexicographically in [7, 8, 11], its smallest element $q_1 \approx 1.787$ was determined in [10], and its topological structure was described in [11]. It was also shown in [10] that the unique expansion of 1 in base q_1 is given by the truncated Thue–Morse sequence $(\tau_i) = 11010011\dots$, which can be defined recursively by setting $\tau_{2^N} = 1$ for $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\tau_{2^N+i} = 1 - \tau_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < 2^N, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using the structure of this expansion, Glendinning and Sidorov [9] proved that $\mathcal{U}_q$ is countable if $1 < q < q_1$ and has the cardinality of the continuum if $q_1 \leq q < 2$ (see also [5]). They also proved that if $1 < q < q_1$, then the (unique) expansion in base q of a number $x \in \mathcal{U}_q$ is ultimately periodic. Finally, the topological structure of the sets $\mathcal{U}_q$ ($q > 1$) was established in [5].

Let us call a sequence $(c_i) = c_1 c_2 \dots$ with integers $0 \leq c_i < q$ *univoque in base q* (or simply *univoque* if q is understood) if

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{q^i}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}_q$. Let $\mathcal{U}'_q$ denote the set of all univoque sequences in base q . Clearly, there is a natural bijection between $\mathcal{U}_q$ and $\mathcal{U}'_q$. In what follows we use systematically the lexicographical order between sequences: we write $(a_i) < (b_i)$ or $a_1 a_2 \dots < b_1 b_2 \dots$ if there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_i = b_i$ for $i < n$ and $a_n < b_n$. We recall the following theorem which is essentially due to Parry [12]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $q > 1$ be a real number and let m be the largest integer smaller than q .*

- (i) *A sequence $(b_i) = b_1 b_2 \dots \in \{0, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the greedy expansion of a number $x \in J_q$ if and only if*

$$b_{n+1} b_{n+2} \dots < \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \quad \text{whenever} \quad b_n < m.$$

(ii) A sequence $(c_i) = c_1 c_2 \dots \in \{0, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is univoque if and only if

$$c_{n+1} c_{n+2} \dots < \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \quad \text{whenever} \quad c_n < m$$

and

$$\overline{c_{n+1} c_{n+2} \dots} < \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \quad \text{whenever} \quad c_n > 0,$$

where $\overline{c_i} := m - c_i = \alpha_1 - c_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\overline{c_1 c_2 \dots} = \overline{c_1} \overline{c_2} \dots$.

Using the fact that the map $q \mapsto (\alpha_i(q))$ is strictly increasing, it follows at once from this theorem that $\mathcal{U}'_q \subset \mathcal{U}'_r$ if $1 < q < r$ and $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$. It is the aim of this note to generalize the above mentioned result of Glendinning and Sidorov [9] by considering the difference of the sets $\mathcal{U}'_q$ and $\mathcal{U}'_r$, $1 < q < r$, $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < q < r$ be real numbers such that $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $(q, r] \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$.
- (ii) $(q, r] \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}} = \emptyset$.
- (iii) Each sequence $(c_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is ultimately periodic¹.
- (iv) $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is countable.

Incidentally, we will also obtain new characterizations of the set of univoque numbers $\mathcal{U}$ and its closure $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ (for other characterizations, see [5, 11]).

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Recently, Baiocchi and Komornik [1] reformulated and extended some classical results of Rényi, Parry, Daróczy and Kátai [13, 12, 4] by characterizing the quasi-greedy expansions of numbers $x \in J_q \setminus \{0\}$ in a fixed base $q > 1$ (see Proposition 2.2 below).

Proposition 2.1. *The map $q \mapsto (\alpha_i(q))$ is a strictly increasing bijection from the open interval $(1, \infty)$ onto the set of all infinite sequences (α_i) satisfying*

$$\alpha_{k+1} \alpha_{k+2} \dots \leq \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \quad \text{for all} \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proposition 2.2. *For each $q > 1$, the map $x \mapsto (a_i(x))$ is a strictly increasing bijection from $(0, \alpha_1/(q-1)]$ onto the set of all infinite sequences (a_i) , satisfying*

$$0 \leq a_n \leq \alpha_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1$$

and

$$a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \dots \leq \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \quad \text{whenever} \quad a_n < \alpha_1.$$

For any fixed $q > 1$, we introduce the sets

$$\mathcal{V}_q := \left\{ x \in J_q : \overline{a_{n+1}(x) a_{n+2}(x) \dots} \leq \alpha_1(q) \alpha_2(q) \dots \quad \text{whenever} \quad a_n(x) > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}'_q := \{(a_i(x)) : x \in \mathcal{V}_q\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\mathcal{U}_q \subset \mathcal{V}_q$ for each $q > 1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}'_q \subset \mathcal{U}'_r$ if $1 < q < r$ and $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$. The precise relationship between the sets $\mathcal{U}_q$, its closure $\overline{\mathcal{U}_q}$ and $\mathcal{V}_q$ for each $q > 1$ was described in [5]. For instance, it was shown that $\mathcal{U}_q$ is closed if and only if $q \notin \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{U}_q = \overline{\mathcal{U}_q} = \mathcal{V}_q$, except when q belongs to the closed null set $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of those bases $q > 1$ such that

$$\overline{\alpha_{k+1}(q) \alpha_{k+2}(q) \dots} \leq \alpha_1(q) \alpha_2(q) \dots \quad \text{for each} \quad k \geq 1.$$

If $q \in \mathcal{V}$, then the set $\mathcal{V}_q \setminus \mathcal{U}_q$ is countably infinite.

¹We call a sequence $(c_i) = c_1 c_2 \dots$ ultimately periodic if $c_{n+1} c_{n+2} \dots$ is periodic for some $n \geq 0$.

The relationship between the sets $\mathcal{U}$, $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$, and $\mathcal{V}$ has been investigated in [11]. In particular it was shown that

- $\mathcal{U} \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{U}} \subsetneq \mathcal{V}$.
- $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ is countable and dense in $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$.
- $\mathcal{V} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a discrete set, dense in $\mathcal{V}$.
- $q \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ if and only if $\overline{\alpha_{k+1}(q)\alpha_{k+2}(q)\dots} < \alpha_1(q)\alpha_2(q)\dots$ for each $k \geq 1$.

Applying the above mentioned results, one can easily verify the statements in the following examples.

Examples.

- The smallest element of $\mathcal{V}$ is given by $G := (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. Moreover, $G \sim (10)^\infty$ and $\mathcal{V}'_G$ is the set of all sequences in $\{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ such that a one is never followed by two zeros and a zero is never followed by two ones. Hence $\mathcal{U}_q$ is infinite if $G < q \leq 2$.
- Define the numbers q_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) by setting $q_n \sim (110)^n(10)^\infty$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that all these numbers belong to $\mathcal{U}$. However, if we set $q^* := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n$, then $q^* \sim (110)^\infty$. Note that $q^* \notin \mathcal{U}$ because $111(0)^\infty$ is another expansion of 1 in base q^* . Hence $q^* \in \overline{\mathcal{U}} \setminus \mathcal{U}$.

Without further comment, we use frequently in the proof below some of the main results in [5], and in particular the analysis of one of the final remarks at the end of [5] which is concerned with the endpoints of the connected components of $(1, \infty) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$: if we write $(1, \infty) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ as the union of countably many disjoint open intervals (its connected components), then the set L of left endpoints of these intervals is given by $L = \mathbb{N} \cup (\overline{\mathcal{U}} \setminus \mathcal{U})$ and the set R of right endpoints of these intervals satisfies the relationship $R \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) $\implies$ (ii): Suppose that $(q, r] \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$. Then $(q, r + \delta) \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ for some $\delta > 0$ because $\mathcal{U}$ is closed from above [11] and (ii) follows.

(ii) $\implies$ (iii): If $(q, r] \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}} = \emptyset$, then $(q, r]$ is a subset of a connected component of $(1, \infty) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Moreover, $[q, r) \cap \mathcal{V}$ is a finite subset $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ of $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{U}$, where $r_1 < \dots < r_m$. Although it is not important in the remainder of the proof, we recall from [5] that $r_2, \dots, r_m \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$, but r_1 might belong to $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \setminus \mathcal{U}$. We may write

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{U}'_r = \mathcal{U}'_q \cup \bigcup_{\ell=1}^m (\mathcal{V}'_{r_\ell} \setminus \mathcal{U}'_{r_\ell}).$$

Fix $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and let $x \in \mathcal{V}_{r_\ell} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{r_\ell}$. If the greedy expansion (b_i) of x in base r_ℓ is finite, then $(a_i(x))$ ends with $\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots$. Suppose now that (b_i) is infinite. Since $x \notin \mathcal{U}_{r_\ell}$, there exists an index n such that $b_n > 0$, and $\overline{b_{n+1}b_{n+2}\dots} \geq \alpha_1\alpha_2\dots$. Since $x \in \mathcal{V}_{r_\ell}$ and $(a_i(x)) = (b_i(x))$, the last inequality is in fact an equality. Hence the quasi-greedy expansion $(a_i(x))$ of x in base r_ℓ either ends with (α_i) or $(\overline{\alpha_i})$. Since $(\alpha_i(q))$ is periodic if $q \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ [11], the implication follows from (2.1).

(iii) $\implies$ (iv) is clear.

(iv) $\implies$ (i): We prove the contraposition. Suppose that $(q, r] \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. We distinguish between two cases.

If $(q, r) \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$, then $|(q, r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ because $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a nonempty perfect set [11] and thus each neighborhood of a number $t \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ contains uncountably many elements of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Now

$$\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q \supset \bigcup_{t \in (q, r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}}} (\mathcal{V}'_t \setminus \mathcal{U}'_t).$$

Hence $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ contains an uncountable union of nonempty disjoint sets and is therefore uncountable.

If $(q, r] \cap \mathcal{U} = \{r\}$, then $(q, r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}} = \emptyset$. Hence by enlarging q if necessary, we may assume that $q \notin \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_r = \{x \in \mathcal{U}_r : \text{the unique expansion of } x \text{ in base } r \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{U}'_q\}.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{W}_r$ is closed. The set $\mathcal{W}_r$ is a symmetric subset of J_r , so it suffices to show that $\mathcal{W}_r$ is closed from below. Let $x_i \in \mathcal{W}_r$ ($i \geq 1$), and suppose that $x_i \uparrow x$. Let (c_j^i) be the unique expansion of x_i in base r , and let

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j^i}{q^j}.$$

Then the increasing sequence (y_i) converges to some $y \in \mathcal{U}_q$ because $\mathcal{U}_q$ is a compact set. Since $(c_j^1) \leq (c_j^2) \leq \dots, (c_j^i)$ converges coordinate-wise to the unique expansion (d_j) of y in base q as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_j}{r^j}.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}'_q \subset \mathcal{U}'_r$ we have $x \in \mathcal{U}_r$, and thus $x \in \mathcal{W}_r$. Now suppose that $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is countable. Then $\mathcal{U}_r \setminus \mathcal{W}_r$ is countable. Note that $\mathcal{W}_r \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_r$ because $\mathcal{W}_r$ is closed and $\mathcal{U}_r$ is not. Let $x \in \mathcal{U}_r \setminus \mathcal{W}_r$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r} \setminus \mathcal{U}_r$ is a countable dense subset of $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r}$ (Theorem 1.3 in [5]), the latter set is perfect, and each neighborhood of x contains uncountably many elements of $\mathcal{U}_r$ and thus of $\mathcal{W}_r$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{W}_r$ is closed. $\square$

The above result yields new characterizations of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$:

Corollary 2.3. *A real number $q > 1$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is uncountable for each $r > q$ such that $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$.*

Proof. Note that the integers $2, 3, \dots$ belong to $\mathcal{U}$. For these values of q the condition in the statement is also vacuously satisfied. Hence we may assume that $q \notin \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $q \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. For each $r > q$, $(q, r) \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ because elements of $\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ do not belong to the set of left endpoints of the connected components of $(1, \infty) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Hence if, in addition, $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$, then $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is uncountable by Theorem 1.2. Conversely, if the latter set is uncountable for each $r > q$ such that $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$, then $(q, r] \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ for each $r > q$ by Theorem 1.2, and the result follows because $\mathcal{U}$ is closed from above. $\square$

For a fixed $r > 1$, let $\mathcal{F}'_r = \bigcup \mathcal{U}'_q$, where the union runs over all $q < r$ for which $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $r > 1$ be a real number. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $r \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{F}'_r$ is uncountable.
- (iii) $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is uncountable for each $q < r$ such that $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{U}'_r \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is nonempty for each $q < r$ such that $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$.

Proof. It is clear that (ii) $\implies$ (iii) $\implies$ (iv). It remains to show that (i) $\implies$ (ii) and (iv) $\implies$ (i).

(i) $\implies$ (ii): Suppose $r \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Let $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence that converges to r , such that $q_n \notin \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\lceil q_n \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be done, since $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a null set. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_r^n = \{x \in \mathcal{U}_r : \text{the unique expansion of } x \text{ in base } r \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_r = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_r^n.$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2 that $\mathcal{W}_r^n$ is closed for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $|\mathcal{U}_r \setminus \mathcal{W}_r| = |\mathcal{U}_r' \setminus \mathcal{F}_r'|$. We know that $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r} \setminus \mathcal{U}_r$ is countable. If $\mathcal{U}_r \setminus \mathcal{W}_r$ were countable, then $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r}$ would be an F_σ -set:

$$(2.2) \quad \overline{\mathcal{U}_r} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_r^n \cup \left(\bigcup_{x \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_r} \setminus \mathcal{W}_r} \{x\} \right).$$

Note that $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r}$ is a complete metric space. By Baire's theorem, one of the sets on the right-hand side of (2.2) has a nonempty interior. Since $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r}$ is a perfect set, each singleton belonging to it is not open. Hence one of the sets $\mathcal{W}_r^n \subset \mathcal{U}_r$ has an interior point. But this contradicts the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r} \setminus \mathcal{U}_r$ is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{U}_r}$ (Theorem 1.3 in [5]).

(iv) $\implies$ (i): We prove the contraposition. Suppose $r \notin \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. We can choose $q \in (1, r)$ close enough to r such that $[q, r) \cap \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$. It follows from (2.1) that $\mathcal{U}'_q = \mathcal{U}'_r$. $\square$

Let $q > 1$ be a non-integer, and let $\mathcal{G}'_q = \bigcap \mathcal{U}'_r$, where the intersection runs over all $r > q$ for which $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$. In view of Corollary 2.4 it is natural to ask whether the following variant of Corollary 2.3 holds: the number $q > 1$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}'_q \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is uncountable. In order to show that this is *not* true, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{G}'_q = \mathcal{V}'_q$ for each non-integer $q > 1$, since $\mathcal{V}'_q \setminus \mathcal{U}'_q$ is known to be countable [5]. Let us first recall Lemma 3.2 from [11]:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $q > 1$ be a non-integer, and let $(\beta_i) = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots$ be the greedy expansion of 1 in base q . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a number $r = r_n > q$ such that the greedy expansion of 1 in base r starts with $\beta_1 \dots \beta_n$.*

If $q \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, then 1 has an infinite greedy expansion in base q , i.e., $(\alpha_i) = (\alpha_i(q)) = (\beta_i(q))$. If a sequence $(a_i) \in \{0, \dots, \alpha_1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ belonged to $\mathcal{G}'_q \setminus \mathcal{V}'_q$, then either there would exist indices n and m , such that

$$a_n < \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+m} > \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m$$

or there would exist indices n and m , such that

$$a_n > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+m}} > \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m.$$

If $r_m > q$ is the number that is defined in Lemma 2.5, then $\alpha_i(q) = \alpha_i(r_m)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and thus $(a_i) \notin \mathcal{U}'_{r_m}$ which is a contradiction. On the other hand, $\mathcal{V}'_q \subset \mathcal{U}'_r$ for each $r > q$ such that $\lceil q \rceil = \lceil r \rceil$, and therefore $\mathcal{G}'_q = \mathcal{V}'_q$.

If $q \in (1, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{U}$, then the equality $\mathcal{G}'_q = \mathcal{V}'_q$ easily follows from Theorem 1.7 in [5].

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to Vilmos Komornik for his valuable suggestions and for a careful reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Baiocchi, V. Komornik, *Greedy and quasi-greedy expansions in non-integer bases*, arXiv:math/0710.3001.
- [2] K. Dajani, M. de Vries, *Invariant densities for random β -expansions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **9** (2007), no. 1, 157–176.
- [3] Z. Daróczy, I. Kátai, *Univoque sequences*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **42** (1993), no. 3–4, 397–407.
- [4] Z. Daróczy, I. Kátai, *On the structure of univoque numbers*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **46** (1995), no. 3–4, 385–408.
- [5] M. de Vries, V. Komornik, *Unique expansions of real numbers*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 2, 390–427.
- [6] P. Erdős, M. Horváth, I. Joó, *On the uniqueness of the expansions $1 = \sum q^{-n_i}$* , Acta Math. Hungar. **58** (1991), no. 3–4, 333–342.

- [7] P. Erdős, I. Joó, V. Komornik, *Characterization of the unique expansions $1 = \sum q^{-n_i}$ and related problems*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **118** (1990), no. 3, 377–390.
- [8] P. Erdős, I. Joó, V. Komornik, *On the number of q -expansions*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **37** (1994), 109–118.
- [9] P. Glendinning, N. Sidorov, *Unique representations of real numbers in non-integer bases*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 4, 535–543.
- [10] V. Komornik, P. Loreti, *Unique developments in non-integer bases*, Amer. Math. Monthly **105** (1998), no. 7, 636–639.
- [11] V. Komornik, P. Loreti, *On the topological structure of univoque sets*, J. Number Theory **122** (2007), no. 1, 157–183.
- [12] W. Parry, *On the β -expansion of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **11** (1960), 401–416.
- [13] A. Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **8** (1957), 477–493.
- [14] N. Sidorov, *Almost every number has a continuum of β -expansions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **110** (2003), no. 9, 838–842.

DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, MEKELWEG 4, 2628 CD DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: w.m.devries@ewi.tudelft.nl